Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Significant impact of Planning and Sefto	on Service Delivery for n LA	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	
Causes	Government are completing an overhaul of Planning System			
Result	Impact - Requirement to review and amend local plan, impact on financials as creates a removal of revenue. Loss of control with potential impact on Town Centre Recovery and Community cohesion			evenue. Loss of control with
Current	Added on 05.08.20 impact and associated actions not yet known, requires escalation onto CRR			
treatments and	As controls and Govt detail become clearer the impact will be re-assessed and this may be removed from CRR.			from CRR.
controls				
Risk owner	DM			
Proposed	The Actions associated ar	e still to be defined and finalised as	Government guidance on requirements	still needs further clarity,
actions	maintain on the CRR			

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Gaps in understand needs	ding of community	New risk – no previous score recorded	CIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT
Causes	Lack of community response to request to complete census Low response rates to census in certain wards			
Result	 The Council uses the information gathered in the census to plan and inform service decisions. Gaps in the information gathered in the census decreases the quality of information available to the Council Financial sustainability could be compromised 			s. Gaps in the information
Current treatments and controls	Completion of the Census Day 2021 promoted via Council's Social Media and News Channels Promotion of the Census included Council building being lit up			low take up
Risk owner	ED CR&CS			
Proposed actions	 Continue with targeted social media to areas of low up take to encourage completion of Census after Census Day Make ward members, service areas and appropriate partners aware of areas of low participation so that they can encourage participation 			

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Failure to effectively manage and support the response to a pandemic incident		O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD
Causes	Recovery plans not in place	ce.	nmunity outbreaks of COVID-19 impact	ing on the local area and staff.
Result	 20-35% staff abset Services delivery Increased deman Increased social at Services, busines Surge of demand Negative socio-ec 	vacross the Local Authority and parences anticipated in services across reduced as a result of the implemed of services in response to outbreauxiety. ses and schools being temporarily in supply chains. conomic impact. ia and public interest in the Council	s the Local Authority and partner organis ntation of business continuity. aks. closed.	sations.
Current treatments and controls	 Sefton Council St structure and ope and delivery of co Forum (MRF), Liv Engagement with Tactical Co-ordina are active member national government of Enhanced partner Health agencies, Enhanced working virtual meetings a volunteers/counci pandemic. Re-pri 	rategic Coordination Group (SCG) rational response cells in place to nuncil services. Sefton operational derpool City Region and other region the Merseyside Resilience Forum eation Groups to manage response ters of multiple MRF multi-agency resent via MHCLG. The sefton based agraph working with Sefton based agraph working wo	(MRF) and participation in twice weekly o COVID-19 impacts for Merseyside. S sponse cells working across the Mersey encies to deliver effective response outcomes.	ont Sefton residents, businesses on the Merseyside Resilience multi-agency Strategic and efton Officers chair, lead and raide footprint and linked into comes e.g. Sefton CVS, CCGs, Robust IT systems to allow ach to recruit and mobilise erable to the impacts of the cort where it most needed or to

	 Pro-active Communications strategy for public facing, internal and multi-agency working, co-ordination of information and guidance updates and out of hours on call Comms rota. 			
	 Links to national guidance and Gov.uk latest information repository pushed out via staff intranet, social media and other partner communications /news platforms. 			
	 Sefton Major Incident Guidance Document/Sefton Business Continuity Policy/Sefton Business Continuity Strategy/Service Area COVID-19 BC plans/E- learning module on Business Continuity 			
	 Sefton dedicated and knowledgeable teams including Public Health Team and Risk and Resilience Function Continuous reinforcement of hand hygiene and infection control messages from Public Health both internally and externally. 			
	Regular Communications from HoS and Senior Managers to staff with regard to observation of social distancing and ongoing 'stay safe' COVID-19 advice including continue to work at home wherever possible.			
	Outbreak Board chaired by Leader meeting weekly. Wider partnership outbreak board meeting bi-weekly.			
	Additional public health staff recruited to strengthen health protection response			
	Locally supported contact tracing service in place.			
	Community and targeted asymptomatic Lateral Flow testing in place.			
	Surge testing completed in three wards in Southport in response to identification of Variant of Concern			
Risk owner	DPH/CEO			
	 Review Council Pandemic Plans, including the Outbreak plan in response to publication of COVID-19 Response Spring 2021 Asymptomatic testing plan to be reviewed in light of expanding national testing streams 			
Proposed actions	 Contact tracing service will flex in response to changing rates of infection and provide additional cover (testing, vaccine promotion etc) as wider Council workforce return to routine duties. 			
actions	Funding secured to develop Community Connectors programme			
	MRF multi-agency Strategic Recovery Co-ordinating Group planning in development.			
	Place based planning in progress			

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
The Council is the victim of a cyber-attack.		LIKELIHOOD CHAPTER TO THE CHAPTER TO	IMPACT	INPACT O O	
Causes	*	another virus infects the Council's	•		
Result	 Services will not have access to systems and data as standard and will have to fall back on non-ICT delivery methods, albewithout access to key data. Data breach occurs. Financial impact of ransom. Reputational damage 				
Current treatments and controls	Cyberattack prevention measures are in place, including - Upgraded Council firewalls and active SIEM monitoring service. - Anti malware tools - New Acceptable use policy ready for deployment - LGA Stocktake completed - PSN Accreditation achieved - New security standards for email encryption implemented				
Risk owner	this topic. ED CR&CS				
Proposed actions	 The ongoing ICT Transformation programme will see the majority of systems and data migrated to Microsoft Azure cloud hosting, which will reduce the overall risk; however, a review of all security controls is underway by the Security Committee Deployment of industry standard ESET solution in progress Formal rollout of Cyber Security Awareness training. Develop new Business Continuity-Disaster Recovery plan in line with wider Corporate review of Business Continuity 				

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Financial sustainab	ility beyond 2021/22	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	LIMPACT OD THE PROPERTY OF THE	LIKELIHOOD CHAPTER OF	
Causes	 The national review of local government funding and the next comprehensive spending review and subsequent 3 year financial settlement places further strain on the Council's overall medium term budget. Due to the scale of budget reductions since 2010 there is a risk that further suitable cost-saving/income generating measur will be difficult to identify. The current pandemic will also significantly affect both the in year and future years budget pending advice and further support from central government. The impact of the Global pandemic will also have an impact on the councils finances with increased demand for services, a reduction in income and reductions in collection Fund income 				
Result	 Degradation of service could have an adverse impact on residents and communities The reputation of the Council may be compromised Financial sustainability could be compromised 				
Current treatments and controls	• The financial sustainability of the council at this time will be driven by the support offered by central government to mitigate the impact of COVID 19. The spending review announcement on 25 November and subsequent local government finance settlement in December 2020 informed the budget package for 2021/22 that was approved by Council on 4 March 2020. This budget will be monitored in 21/22 in the same way as in 20/21 ie focussing on the approved budget, impact of the pandemic and impact on the collection fund. The budget report clearly sets out the risks that will exist in 2021/22 and that remedial actions maybe required. As stated the level and nature of government support aligned with national and local restrictions will be key influencing factors. Within the budget report is a defined approach to setting the 22/23 to 24/25 budget and the propose move to setting multi year budgets if possible. This has served the council well since 2010				
Risk owner	ED CR&CS				
Proposed actions	 Commence the despending review of Care Start the developer account of COVID 	due in October 2021, taking particula ment of budget proposals for 2022/2 019 ments fair funding review and comp	s approved on 4 March 2021 ears from 2022/23 to 2024/25 in advance ar account of the risks posed in the colle 23 to 2024/25 as part of the Framework brehensive spending review and lobby for	ection fund and Children's Social for Change 2020 taking full	

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Data breach resulting in the wrongful release of personal and/or sensitive information		IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD COMPANY COM	LIKELIHOOD O
Causes		oordinated by Information Manage nes caused by human error	ment and Governance Executive Group	are not adhered to, resulting in a
Result		al requirements; loss of privacy, di inificant financial penalties.	stress or harm to the data subject; dama	age to Council's reputation; loss of
Current treatments and controls	 Information management and governance, including data breaches and actions to prevent data breaches, is overseen by the Information Management and Governance Executive Group (IMGEG), which consists of Heads of Service with lead responsibilities for key aspects of IMG (i.e. designated Data Protection Officer/IG Lead, Senior Information Risk Owner and Lead officer for ICT infrastructure) supported by other officers with key roles relating to IMG Each service has designated Information Asset Owners and Information Asset Administrators, Policies, procedures 			
Risk owner	All Heads of Service			
Proposed actions	 Appropriate resourcing, prioritisation and focus on information management and governance across the Council include the following: Regular monitoring and review by IMGEG of policies, procedures and processes to prevent, manage and respond to potential and actual data breaches. Ongoing review of information systems to ensure no inappropriate or unforeseen data linkages exist within systems or reports. Review of systems ahead of updates to identify any unintended changes. Ongoing education of staff and monitoring of activity by IAOs and IAAs to identify and prevent areas of human error. Regular review of information contained to ensure information is accurate and any information that should be removed is removed. Regular reporting by IMGEG to SLB and Audit and Governance Committee as necessary Maximise the opportunities from the Council's ICT Transformation to increase and embed effective information management and governance 			

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Failure to manage historic records effectively		LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	LIKELIHOOD O	LIKEL HOOD	
Causes	Access Requests). In ad		cular the right to erasure and tighter dea ation programme which may lead the Co r Light working arrangements.		
Result	 Failure to comply with legal requirements relating to retention, consideration, release or correct disposal of historical information; damage to Council's reputation; loss of public confidence; and significant financial penalties. Historical information is not stored or managed correctly, such that it is lost, damaged or incorrectly disposed of 				
Current treatments and controls	 Not known to the organisation when making relevant decisions; retained when it should have been correctly disposed of. Information management and governance is overseen by the Information Management and Governance Executive Group (IMGEG), which consists of Heads of Service with lead responsibilities for key aspects of IMG (i.e. designated Chief Information Officer; Senior Information Risk Owner; and Lead officer for ICT infrastructure), supported by other officers with key roles relating to IMG. Each service has designated Information Asset Owners and Information Asset Administrators. Policies, procedures, processes and issues are communicated to these officers through the Information Management & Governance Tactical Group. Support, co-ordination, advice and guidance is provided corporately and appropriate training/refresher training is in place. The Council has implemented policies, procedures and processes for the management of information and has in place corporate contracts for appropriate digitisation, disposal and archive storage services. The Council has implemented a Historic Records Pilot Project to identify the scale, condition and correct management of all historic records held. This project reports regularly to IMGEG. Regular monitoring and review by IMGEG of policies, procedures and processes to prevent, manage and respond to potential and actual data breaches. Regular communications to workforce reminding them of training and responsibilities. 				
Risk owner	All Heads of Service				

	 Appropriate resourcing, prioritisation and focus on information management and governance across the Council, including support for Information Asset Owners and Information Asset Administrators including action on the following.
	 Regular monitoring and review by IMGEG of policies, procedures and processes for the management of information, including historic information.
Proposed	Regular monitoring and review by IMGEG of the progress and implications of the Historic Records Pilot Project, including reporting to SLR and Audit and Governance Committee as necessary.

actions

- reporting to SLB and Audit and Governance Committee as necessary.
- Maximise the opportunities from the Council's ICT Transformation to increase and embed effective information management and governance.
- Commission training to improve understanding of data handling requirements and checks and controls
- Ongoing education of staff and monitoring of activity by IAOs and IAAs to identify and prevent areas of human error.

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Inadequate capability to prepare for and respond effectively to a major incident affecting the Council or occurring in Sefton as per the Council's responsibilities under the Civil Contingencies Act 2004.		LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	LIKELIHOOD C	LIKELIHOOD C	
Causes		fecting the Council or the Borough. nd was raised to "critical" on two occ	This risk is accentuated as the govern casions in 2017.	ment has determined the terror	
Result	 Loss of human life, illness or serious injury Major damage or destruction to infrastructure, property and/or the environment Disruption or loss of critical services such as transport, communications, utility services 				
Current treatments and controls	 Reputational or financial harm to the authority Emergency Response Manual and Major Incident Guidance in place. Revised Command and Control structure in place which defines Strategic and Tactical level officers. Emergency Duty Co-ordinators invited to attend quarterly briefing sessions, and all are able to access Resilience Direct containing incident response plans. Relevant training provided to Emergency Duty Co-ordinators and volunteers on an ongoing basis. Attendance and participation in Merseyside Resilience Forum and joint planning across Merseyside. Humanitarian volunteers in place and team strengthened following successful recruitment drive. Plans for response and recovery are the subject of ongoing review and update, particularly in light of the government's assessment of the terror threat level as "severe". Ongoing development and review of supporting plans. Business Impact Analysis training for the Risk and Resilience team has been completed. This has resulted in the production of BIAs for all service areas. Business Continuity Policy and strategy have been devised and approved. 				
Risk owner	All Heads of Service				
Proposed actions	following: Service Level BusSefton Corporate	ity Planning Implementation Plan ha siness Continuity Plans will be comp Level Business Continuity Plan to b ity Testing and exercising to be und	e produced by end June 2021.	mplemented. This includes the	

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Dedicated Schools Grant High Needs Funding for Special Educational Needs is inadequate to meet requirements.		IMPACT O IMPACT	LKELHOOD LKELHOOD	IMPACT O O
Causes	 High Needs budgets are under considerable pressure from increasing numbers of children being diagnosed with complex and life-long SEND related issues. National funding allocations are not increasing annually to reflect increases in local population demand and so any additional commissioned places need to be financed from within existing budget envelope. The number and value of requests from mainstream schools for "top-up" funding (for children with SEND) continues to increase year on year as schools face financial pressures to meet the first £6k of any SEN Support. Maintained special school provision is full and so more and more children are being placed in independent provision which is more expensive. Whilst this issue is being addressed in 2021/22 through extra In-House provision, there remain risks that external placements may continue at high additional cost. Central Government have advocated parental preference for SEND provision - which has added to the number of children being placed in independent provision - with no additional funding 			
Result	 Sefton's High Needs budget is forecast to overspend by £3.3m this financial year (2020/21) and this will increase the balance sheet deficit on High Needs to around £8.7m. In 2021/22, despite a considerable increase to Sefton's High Needs Funding allocation of over £3.1m, there is still a forecast overspend for 2021/22 of £1.5m, which would take balances on High Needs to a deficit of £10.2m by the end of 2021/22, unless this can be addressed. The estimated deficit on the high needs block will be held on the Council's Balance Sheet. The DfE do not expect the Local Authority's General Fund to cover accumulated deficits, however the size of the deficit is continuing to increase. 			
Current treatments and controls	 Engagement with Sufficiency statem Lobbying and eng Engagement with funding, reviewing funding. Review of place a In addition, further 	nent produced that will drive future agement of both DFE and MCCL special schools actively working three-year financial plans, iden and top up levels of funding.	and objectives d the SEN team Managers on how constrategy and financial sustainability G on financial impact and the need for with individual schools to review impactifying any strategic savings to mitigate the barriers to inclusivity within retream settings for children and young	increased support act of any proposed changes to their ate high calls on DSG High Needs mainstream settings and assessment

	 Sefton Schools Forum has agreed to the continuation for an additional year of intra-block funding decisions made in 2020/21 to increase funding available in 2021/22. The transfer of 0.25% (£0.430m) from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block, will target funding towards supporting the most inclusive schools. The National High Needs Block formula funding allocation to Local Authorities has increased by £780m in 2020/21; and by a further £730m in 2021/22 as part of a three year funding agreement compared to the 2019/20 baseline. Sefton's share of the additional funding in 2021/22 after deductions for directly funded schools by the ESFA and excluding increases for Teachers Pay and Pensions which was rolled into the HN Funding settlement, is £2.555m.
Risk owner	Head of Education Excellence
Proposed actions	 Lobbying of Government continues with a view to securing increased funding. Some LA s have been working in partnership with the DfE with agreement to have their High Needs deficits reduced over a 3 year period and Sefton will engage with the DfE to seek a similar agreement based on its work on a High Needs review and towards reaching a balanced budget position 2021/22 - 2022/23 The SEND Schools Forum is the Project Group tasked with implementing an action plan to address the annual overspend, cumulative deficit and bringing the budget back into an in-year balanced position for 2021/22 - 2022/23. To date, two specific workstreams have been developed with LA and School representatives working on a number of key areas: Developing a new funding model to support children with EHCPs; Clarifying high needs funding outside of the EHCP process; Reviewing provision and placement sufficiency. Considering new ways of working with SEN children in schools, making them more cost effective and with good outcomes. Review of the graduated response and supporting SEN leadership in schools.

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Failure to manage increasing demand for services within Children's Social Care		LIKELIHOOD COMPANY	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT O O	
Causes	Increase in the number of children needing a CSC intervention including increase in LAC and CP Budgets reduced to meet Council Targets has had an impact on the delivery of early help services				
Result	 Inability to cope with demand, increased safeguarding risks Loss of reputation and poor inspection outcomes Increase in budget pressures Increase in Social Work Caseloads 				
Current	Regular audit of cases, scrutiny of data and understanding of demand to predict future demand (needs analysis)			nd (needs analysis)	
treatments and controls	 Demand Management Programme as part of the next PSR projects in place with a number of workstreams to reduce demand for services 				
Risk owner	HoCSC				
Proposed actions	 Demand management workstream with project management Recruitment of Support workers to undertake non - social work tasks Managed Service in place to support reduced SW caseloads Increased resource to discharge Care Orders at home 				

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
School debts transferring back to the Council in the event of them being forced into academy status or closing.		New risk – no previous score recorded	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT O O IMPACT
Causes	Schools with Licensed Deficit Budget Agreements with the Council fail an OFSTED Inspection and the Secretary of State for Education issues and Academisation Order to transfer control of the school over to Multi Academy Trust. Governing Bodies of Schools with Licensed Deficit Budget Agreements are not provided assurance that the school can become viable and Elected Members agree to the closure of the school.			Trust.
Result	There are a minimal number of schools who are operating under a Notice of Concern as they have an agreed licensed deficit agreement with the Council or are projected to be in a deficit balance situation in 2020/21.			
Current treatments and controls	 All Schools must provide 3-year financial plan to the Council by 30th April each year and get agreement to operate under a Licensed Deficit Agreement. Along with any Licensed Deficit Budget Agreement Schools are also given a Financial Notice letter which sets out the financial framework under which the Governors and Senior Members of the school must operate while they are in deficit. Quarterly report to Cabinet Member Children, Schools and Safeguarding on overall financial risk to the Council and performance of each school against the agreed Licensed Deficit Plans. Termly meetings with Head of Education Excellence and Finance staff with the Chair of Governors and Headteacher of each school operating under a Licensed Deficit Budget Agreement to discuss financial performance against agreed plan. Discussion of financial performance of schools at termly Schools Causing Concern meetings where educational performance is also discussed and identifies schools that could fail any upcoming OFSTED Inspection. The option for the Council to remove delegation from the school if an academy order is placed on the school and the Council has concerns regarding the overall deficit position of the school. 			
Risk owner	HoEE			
Proposed actions	 Continued operation of Licensed Deficit Agreements and scrutiny of school financial plans and ongoing support to Govern Bodies Meetings between the Council and the Liverpool Archdiocese to develop strategy to support a number of VA Schools who present a significant financial concern to the Council. 			

Agreement from the DfE to provide the Council with additional financial support through its School Resource Management Advisory Team to review the finances of specific schools and give some external / independent advice on a school's finances.

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Failure to plan within annual budget for increased placement costs for Children's Social Care		LIKELIHOOD CONTRACTOR	IMPACT O O O O O O O O O O O O O	IMPACT O O IMPACT	
Causes	Numbers of children in care increase, demand for placements cannot be met as cost increases. Impact of Covid 19 on demand Increased cost of placements				
Result	Costs increase and quality and sufficiency of placements decreases				
Current treatments and controls	 Fortnightly meeting to monitor placement costs and reduce high cost placements Programme of market reform in residential market Focus on recruitment of in house foster carers Work with LCR on a wider programme of market reform. 				
Risk owner	HoCSC				
Proposed actions	Opportunities to cRegular review of	narket to meet need ollaborate across LCR to develop n budgets to identify and mitigate pre ent through Demand Management	essures		

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Impact of regulatory framework and outcome of Joint Target Area Inspection		LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT O O IMPACT	
Causes	Joint Target Area Inspection with a deep dive into Mental Health identified Priority Actions for the Partnership ILAC inspection likely to take place in the next 6 months				
Result	 Services are found to not adequately safeguard children Reputational damage to the Council and Statutory partners Significant impact on LA reputation if priority actions are not addressed 				
Current treatments and controls	 Improvement plan in place to address Priority actions from the JTAI and mostly complete (some Covid 19 Impact) Governance arrangements reviewed and strengthened with Children's Improvement Partnership Board reporting to Health and Wellbeing Board Immediate actions taken to address Priority Actions across the partnership QA framework in place including regular audit and external audit Preparation in place for ILAC inspection LGA have undertaken some independent audits of cases within CSC Response to Improvement Plan submitted to Ofsted in March 2020 was that it indicated an accurate understanding of areas of priority action and findings of the joint inspection team. 				
Risk owner	HoCSC				
Proposed actions	 CSC Improvement plan updated and actioned Performance meetings to continue Support via Partners in Practice to scrutinise effectiveness of partnership arrangements and permanence arrangements for children Programme of audit and independent audit 				

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score	
Failure to mitigate impacts of COVID-19, EU Exit, winter, austerity, on the Sefton economy		LIKELIHOOD	LIKELIHOOD	CIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	
Causes	 Lack of progress of capital and limpact of wider is Loss of key employed 	 Lack of support for business Lack of progress on projects that can support recovery Lack of capital and revenue funding from government Impact of wider issues on local businesses (e.g. national retailers) Loss of key employers to the borough and towns, particularly Bootle and HMRC, Santander Impact on key sectors (especially hospitality) in Southport 			
Result	 Increased business failure Increased unemployment Financial and reputational risks to the Council Impact on communities of port disruption, increased traffic, etc Loss of reputation Impact of wider economic change on residents, particularly the most vulnerable 				
Current treatments and controls	Sefton Strategic F Plan currently be The Sefton Ecor Plans The SES A/Plan The SES A/Plan a The Economy Cel Covid related Gr Economy Cell. Growth program the Economy Ongoing busines pandemic and of l	Recovery - Economy Plan: being adapted to include in more detail the Covid-19 and EU Exit requirements. conomic Strategy (SES) Action Plan is being used as the "Foundation Document" for the Economic Recovery an has been thoroughly reviewed and is fit for purpose for foundation of recovery planning. In and associated recovery actions will report through the Economy Cell structure. It is it is it is in a second to the Economy Cell structure. It is in an associated recovery actions will report through the Economy Cell structure. It is in an associated recovery actions will report through the Economy Cell structure. It is in an associated recovery actions will report through the Economy Cell structure. It is in a second to the Economy			

	 Establishment of multi-stakeholder working groups focused on recovery in key town centres. Recovery planning activity in Southport underpinned by Town Deal opportunities
Risk owner	HoEG&H
Proposed actions	 Actively pursue opportunities for additional external funding via LCR/CA and HMG to develop projects Investor proposition development and proactive business development activity to ensure attraction and retention of businesses and employers in the borough Finalise Sefton-specific recovery plans and investor pack materials Investor Material prepared (Mickledore) in sign off. Additional funding from all sources followed up, Recovery Plan (outward version) ready and prepared with Comms Team. Strategic Recovery Plan - Economy being re-visited in lieu of current changing circumstances

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Market failure of Adult Social Care provision		LIKELIHOOD LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	LIKELIHOOD O
Causes	 Lack of market engagement and market development due to lack of capacity and resource. Capability and capacity of the available workforce to provide domiciliary care. Lack of diversity of supply in the market to provide choice and control 			
Result	 Inability to provide packages of care for service users Inability to maximise the opportunity of reablement Lack of alternative providers able to support social care Poor quality service provision Significant increase in unmet needs of service users due to a fragile market that is not developing 			pping
Current treatments and controls	 Market Position Statement 2020 Winter Planning/checklist Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2020-2025 Integrated Commissioning Group Centralised Commissioning Support function Commissioning priorities and full work plan 			
Risk owner	HoASC/HoSS			
Proposed actions	 Recovery and transition review to explore Workforce, process, commissioning and partnerships post pandemic Winter Planning/checklist evaluation Review and align strategic plans to current contracts to ensure Value for Money and objectives are met. Continual cycle of review in ways of working Development of new opportunities through working with LCR, CCG and wider commissioning partnerships. Commissioning priorities and full work plan. Workforce development of the independent workforce. Ensure involvement of key stakeholders As per commissioning workshop, review structure and workplan to deliver the above. 			

Risk Description		Previous risk score	Current risk score	Target risk score
Failure to adequately invest in the Highway network and associated assets.		LKELIHOOD LKELIHOOD	IMPACT OO O	LIKELIHOOD O
Causes	Budget reductions; inadequate funding levels to meet need.			
Result	 Deterioration of highway assets Potential increase in claims Financial and reputational risks Potential increase in accidents resulting in injury and/or death 			
Current treatments and controls	 Essential work is prioritised within available budget. Regular inspections of most assets to monitor and guide prioritisation of works in order to mitigate risk. Regular updates provided to Cabinet Member Preventive surface treatments used to prolong the life of the network and to treat more of it than if more long-term maintenance solutions were used (i.e. resurfacing) 			
Risk owner	Ho H&PP			
Proposed actions	Actively pursue opportunities for additional external funding via LCR/CA and others to maintain and improve network.			